

of structures is not valid. How do "spanwise structures" have "a helical geometry of propagation"?

In summary, the origin of the reported fluctuations of velocity and streakline pattern is the helical mode instability, as indicated by the authors' own data. It is the same phenomenon that is responsible for wing and tail buffeting. The evidence of any other phenomenon is yet to be offered.

References

- ¹Hubner, J. P., and Komerath, N. M., "Counter-Rotating Structures over a Delta Wing," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 34, No. 9, 1996, pp. 1958–1960.
- ²Gursul, I., "Unsteady Flow Phenomena over Delta Wings at High Angle of Attack," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 32, No. 2, 1994, pp. 225–231.
- ³Wentz, W. H., and Kohlman, D. L., "Vortex Breakdown on Slender Sharp-Edged Wings," *Journal of Aircraft*, Vol. 8, No. 3, 1971, pp. 156–161.
- ⁴Thompson, D. H., "A Water Tunnel Study of Vortex Breakdown over Wings with Highly Swept Leading Edges," Australian Research Labs., Note ARL/A356, 1975.
- ⁵Wolffelt, K. W., "Investigation on the Movement of Vortex Burst Position with Dynamically Changing Angle of Attack for a Schematic Delta Wing in a Water Channel with Correlation to Similar Studies in Wind Tunnel," *Aerodynamic and Related Hydrodynamic Studies Using Water Facilities*, AGARD-CP-413, 1987.
- ⁶Earnshaw, P. B., and Lawford, J. A., "Low-Speed Wind-Tunnel Experiments on a Series of Sharp-Edged Delta Wings," Pt. 1, Royal Aircraft Establishment, RAE TN, Aero. 2780, 1961.
- ⁷Erickson, G. E., "Water-Tunnel Studies of Leading-Edge Vortices," *Journal of Aircraft*, Vol. 19, No. 9, 1982, pp. 442–448.
- ⁸Garg, A. K., and Leibovich, S., "Spectral Characteristics of Vortex Breakdown Flowfields," *Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 22, No. 11, 1979, pp. 2053–2064.
- ⁹Roos, F. W., and Kegelmann, J. T., "Recent Explorations of Leading Edge Vortex Flowfields," NASA High-Angle-of-Attack Technology Conf., NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, Oct.–Nov. 1990.
- ¹⁰Visbal, M. R., "Computational and Physical Aspects of Vortex Breakdown on Delta Wings," AIAA Paper 95-0585, Jan. 1995.

A. Plotkin
Associate Editor

Reply by the Authors to I. Gursul

N. M. Komerath* and J. P. Hubner†
Georgia Institute of Technology,
Atlanta, Georgia 30332-1050

PROFESSOR Gursul insists that the generation, evolution, or methods of suppression of fluctuations in vortex flows have been completely defined by the helical mode theory of Gursul.¹ This is as interesting as his statement that "fluctuating velocity in a plane for a three-dimensional flow does not mean much."

Gursul's objections can be removed by reading previous work, referenced in our original Note. We agree that the flow over a delta wing goes round and round and downstream, generally within a conical envelope. Disturbance structures in this flow, away from its axis, should take a helical trajectory, regardless of the precise mechanism of their generation. Linear stability analysis should show a mode of propagation that is helical, and this may amplify. This does

not provide any information on the nature of these disturbances. For this, Gursul cites surface pressure data (scalar) and single-hot-wire data (also scalar) as proof of the orientation, shape, etc., of these disturbance structures, and asks readers to ignore our quantitative flow-field visualization and phase-locked velocity measurements, tied to hot-film spectra, which unambiguously resolve the shape and orientations of the structures.

We take no issue with the obvious fact that we are dealing with postburst vortex flow, so Fig. 1a of the Comment is a nonissue. However, we do maintain that the fluctuations do not originate in the core region. We have studied this problem as follows.² First, we tried finding strong cores and burst phenomena over an F-15 scale model at 20-deg angle of attack. No unburst vortex region (and therefore no explicit bursting) is observed over wings with sweep much below 60 deg for $\alpha > 20$ deg, and so explanations that depend on burst locations and core phenomena are inadequate for the various aircraft configurations with moderate sweep where we showed the same phenomenon. Next we accumulated hot-film spectra with different film orientations and showed that the quasiperiodic fluctuation originated over the wings and amplified toward the tails. We then studied the flow over 60-deg wings where bursting could be observed. We tracked the fluctuations upstream to the wing surface by coherence maximization of space-separated sensors. The coherence of the fluctuations is quite low in the postbreakdown core region, and the frequency is different from that in the outer annulus. This is quantitative evidence that the fluctuations do not originate in the core region at all. Let us think beyond the result that linear stability theory gives a frequency in the right ballpark. This would happen equally well if the generation mechanism is due to centrifugal instability near the surface, as we have postulated. Note that this applies as well to other experiments on vortices near surfaces. The disturbances would still go helically around the periphery of the swirling flow. Gursul's question: "How do 'spanwise structures' have a 'helical geometry of propagation'?" is answered in textbooks.³

We visualized the phenomenon using laser sheets at different orientations and confirmed their frequency by counting using video frames, compared with hot-film data. We used laser velocimetry, with a surface hot-film providing the sync pulse, to measure the periodic velocity field in two planes, both cutting across the spanwise-oriented structures, which the laser sheet images showed. The phenomenon was confirmed. Measurements of lateral velocity, whose lack is cited by Gursul, are irrelevant to the issue.

Because Gursul spends considerable space re quoting Gursul,¹ we draw attention to the data behind his conclusions. Figure 11 of Ref. 1 shows that the helical mode explanation performs poorly for sweep of 60 deg; no lower sweep is considered. The straight line drawn in Fig. 12 ignores the other features of the data. We thank Gursul for his comments. Unfortunately, the flow continues to exhibit phenomena that go beyond his descriptions. This is not unexpected: shear layer transition, for example, was not fully explained with the discovery of the Orr–Sommerfeld equation.

Finally, the helical mode theory offers little guidance on how to suppress these fluctuations, which is the whole point in studying them. We have shown how to do this⁴ using surface-mounted devices that do not perturb the core region or the wing global characteristics and yet reduce the fluctuations by over 50%. This is strong and useful evidence for the correctness of our interpretation of the flow.

References

- ¹Gursul, I., "Unsteady Flow Phenomena over Delta Wings at High Angle of Attack," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 32, No. 2, 1994, pp. 225–231.
- ²Komerath, N. M., "Development of Narrow-Band Velocity Fluctuations in Vortex Flows," AIAA Paper 95-2304, June 1995.
- ³Schlichting, H., *Boundary Layer Theory*, 6th ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968, pp. 500–508.
- ⁴Hubner, J. P., and Komerath, N. M., "Modification of Spectral Characteristics in a Vortex Flow Field," AIAA Paper 95-1795, June 1995.

A. Plotkin
Associate Editor

Received Nov. 15, 1996; accepted for publication Nov. 15, 1996. Copyright © 1997 by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc. All rights reserved.

*Professor, School of Aerospace Engineering, Associate Fellow AIAA.

†Graduate Research Assistant, School of Aerospace Engineering; currently Postdoctoral Fellow, Aerospace Engineering and Engineering Mechanics Department, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611-6250.